

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

Family Name	Ogden
Given Name	Denise
Person ID	1279272
Title	Stakeholder Submission
Type	Web
Include files	DeniseOgden.pdf
Family Name	Ogden
Given Name	Denise
Person ID	1279272
Title	Our Spatial Strategy
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	NA
Soundness - Effective?	NA
Compliance - Legally compliant?	NA
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	NA
Family Name	Ogden
Given Name	Denise
Person ID	1279272
Title	JPA 28: North of Irlam Station
Type	Web
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	<p>The local area cannot sustain 800 more houses. There is one road in and out of Irlam and Cadishead - A57 and adding another max 1,600 commuters is not going to work. The road is regularly blocked due accidents and also as a knock on effect when there are problems on the M60 and M6. There are insufficient doctors and dentists in the area as it is - I can't get a GP appointment for a month, so with, say, another 3,200 people in the area, that is only going to get worse.</p> <p>The current state of Astley Road going up to Chat Moss is a prime example of the impact of building on peat land. Just try driving on it.</p> <p>How will the Council ensure the "achievement of a minimum of 10% net gain in biodiversity" when the work will involve getting rid of the peatland on Chat Moss - alternatively known as Salford"s lungs?? We all know this isn't going to happen.</p>